

SPEC 2000M	Request for Clarification	1	<u>Request No.</u> RC01/P/21-1 Date: 16-05-2021
2	<u>Originator:</u> ATLAS ELEKTRONIK GmbH		<u>To:</u> PWG
2	<u>Date:</u> 07-05-2021		
3	<u>SPEC 2000M Reference:</u> <p style="text-align: center;">S2000M Issue 4.0, Data Dictionary for ISS (Issue Standard).</p>		
4	<u>Description of Request for Clarification:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is it possible to use alpha characters in the second position of the ISS? 2. What is the logic behind the ISS of the different kinds of messages? Are they considered to be one (1) message in different 'versions' or are they taken as different messages of a certain kind? Does the ISS start again at 1 for each subsequent message or will the count simply be increased for each message type until the maximum of 9 (or maybe Z) is reached? 3. Is the ISS of update messages related to the ISS of the CSNIPD they refer to? 4. Do we have to change the ISS of the IPL to the next higher value if the ISS of the next update message exceeds the value of 9 resp. Z before we can send a new update message with an ISS of 1? 5. What is the normal incidence to change the ISS of an IPL at master level? 		
5	<u>Answer Provided:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The format of the data element ISS is defined as 'an2' in the data dictionary. This means – ref. paragraph 6.1 of the Data Dictionary – that the second position of the ISS can use alpha characters. It would be a project decision whether to restart with 'M1' after 'M9' has been reached. Or whether to continue to 'MZ' and then restart with 'M1'. 2. The 'description/purpose' of the Data Dictionary sheet for the ISS indicates the following: <i>"Identifies the issue status and serial number of each Initial Provisioning List presentation and updating message for a specific INITIAL PROVISIONING PROJECT NUMBER (IPP)".</i> This actually consists of two parts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The issue status and serial number of each IPL presentation for a specific IPP. • The issue status and serial number of each updating message for a specific IPP. <p>The result is demonstrated in the example included with the Data Dictionary sheet:</p> <p>IPP: C04190023</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">CSNIPD, DRS:001 → ISS:D1; This is the first draft IP presentation of the IPPN</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">CSNIPD, DRS:002 → ISS:M1; This is the first master IP presentation of the IPPN</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">UIPCT, DRS:003 → ISS:M1; This is the first master presentation of this CAT2 Update</p> 		

UPIPICO, DRS:004 → ISS:D1; This is the first draft presentation of this CAT1 Update
UPIPICO, DRS:005 → ISS:M1; This is the first master presentation of this CAT1 Update
UPIPICO, DRS:006 → ISS:D2; This is the second draft presentation of this (the same) CAT1 Update

Continuing the example would for instance result in the following:

UPIPICO, DRS:007 → ISS:D1; This is the first draft presentation of a new CAT1 Update
UPIPICO, DRS:008 → ISS:M1; This is the first master presentation of this (the new) CAT1 Update

UPIPICO, DRS:009 → ISS:D1; This is the first draft presentation of another new CAT1 Update
UPIPICO, DRS:010 → ISS:M1; This is the first master presentation of this CAT1 Update

CSNIPD, DRS:011 → ISS:M2; This is the second master IP presentation of the IPPN

UPIPCT, DRS:012 → ISS:M1; This is the first master presentation of this new CAT2 Update

3. The ISS of the update message is not related to the ISS of the CSNIPD to which the update refers. See the response at question 2.
4. There is no relation between the ISS of the update message and the ISS of the IP presentation. See the response at question 2.
5. The ISS of an IP presentation at master level should be changed if the IP presentation is reissued or re-submitted at master level. See the example included with the response at question 2.